Multiple Congenital Granular Cell Tumor in a Neonate - A Rare Case
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ABSTRACT

Congenital granular cell tumor (CGCT) is an uncommon congenital benign epulis in neonates. A preliminary diagnosis is usually put forth clinically but may be confused with other anomalies. Herein, we report an unusual case of intra-oral congenital granular cell tumor in a 4-day-old female newborn. The tumor was excised completely. At 3-month follow-up, the baby is doing fine without any recurrence.
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INTRODUCTION

Congenital granular cell tumor (CGCT) is an uncommon congenital benign epulis; other terminologies for CGCT described in the literature are congenital epulis, Granular cell rhabdomyoma, Abrikosov tumor, congenital myoblastoma, or Neumann’s tumor.[1] CGCT is nowadays frequently employed terminology in the literature.[2] We are presenting here a case of multiple congenital granular cell tumor of the maxilla in a neonate.

CASE REPORT

A 4-day-old female neonate presented with an intra-oral growth and difficulty in breastfeeding (Figure 1). There was no respiratory distress. Baby was full term with normal vaginal delivery. The weight of the baby was 2.45 kg. The mother was a primigravida and belonged to a rural area. Antenatal scan was not done. There was no history of any medication during pregnancy nor any congenital anomalies. On local examination, there were multiple pedunculated growths arising from the upper alveolar ridge measuring around 3 × 2 × 2 to 2 × 1 × 1 cm. The surface of growths was dark reddish. The mass was obstructing the oral cavity. The baby was planned for the emergency surgical excision under general anesthesia. The growths were excised with diathermy. The postoperative recovery was uneventful. Histopathological examination showed a cellular tumor with large polygonal cells having eosinophilic granular cytoplasm and small nuclei. The surface epithelium was stretched over the tumor and was atrophic. The diagnosis was confirmed as congenital granular cell tumor. No bony tissue was seen. There was no recurrence at 3-month follow up.

DISCUSSION

The CGCT is usually sporadic and has an incidence of 0.0006% in world population.[3] The most common site of the origin is anterior alveolar ridge; other sites are...
tongue, palate, skin, subcutaneous tissue, skeletal muscles, and the vocal chords. About 90% cases are seen in female babies with a female: male ratio of 8:1. It has no tendency of local recurrence or malignant transformation.[4] Grossly the tumor may present as a firm pedunculated mass arising from alveolar mucosa by means of a stalk.[4] Multiple tumors are seen in 10% of cases and also seen in the index case.[5]

![Figure 1: Preoperative photograph.](image)

The tumor is limited to the soft tissue and does not involve deeper tissues such as bone and teeth.[6] It is important to mention that CGCT does not involve or damage the dentition. Reported dentition damage seems to be an iatrogenic damage related to the surgical treatment.[7,8] Prenatal ultrasound scan or Magnetic resonance Imaging (MRI) may help in such cases. Prenatal diagnosis of CGCT is helpful in the counseling of the parents related to nature and treatment. It may also help in assessing the potential risk of airway obstruction and also the choice of delivery method. Prenatal diagnosis of the CGCT was reported mainly in the third trimester of the pregnancy by ultrasound (USG).[9] In our case, mother had no antenatal checkup.

CGCT may be associated with other congenital anomalies like bilateral transverse facial cleft, polydactyl, congenital goiter and also with neurofibromatosis.[10] While in our case there were no associated anomalies. Although the etiology of congenital epulis is unclear, it is thought to be hormone related, non-neoplastic, degenerative, or reactive lesion.[11]

The accurate diagnosis can be established histopathologically in most of the cases. There are controversies about the origin of CGCT. Some researchers suggest mesenchymal origin while other argue on neuroectodermal origin.[12] Because of the location, potential size of the tumor, and risk of interference with breathing and feeding, complete surgical excision is the treatment of choice.[6-8] Recurrence rarely occurs, and the prognosis is reported to be excellent.[13] Spontaneous regression has been reported in isolated few cases and may occur even in case of incomplete resection.[14] Immunohistochemically, CGCT shows a negative staining for S-100, CD31, CD68, chromogranin, desmin, keratins, and smooth muscle actin. Moreover, a positive staining result is seen for vimentin and neuron-specific enolase.[6] To achieve an accurate diagnosis, it is essential that all data, both histopathologic and clinical, are thoroughly analyzed.[8]
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