I M A G E S OPEN ACCESS

Congenital Midline Cervical Cleft

Bilal Mirza

A 3-year-old boy presented with a midline skin defect in the center of the neck. On examination, a 6 cm long and about 1.5 cm wide lesion extending from hyoid bone to the suprasternal notch was noted. There was a nipple like skin hood at the cranial end of the defect with a sinus at the caudal part (Fig. 1).



Figure 1: Congenital midline cervical cleft showing nipple like hood (1), cleft (2), and sinus (3).

On palpation, sub-mental bony spur was felt. The defect was associated with limitation of neck extension. Surgery was offered. At operation the extent of the sinus was about 4-6mm. The skin defect along with its cranial and caudal lesions was excised. The surgical wound was closed with multiple z-plasties (Fig. 2). The postoperative recovery was uneventful. Histopathology of the specimen showed skin histology in the upper hood like part. The cleft portion was devoid of skin appendages, and the sinus tract was blind and

lined by pseudostratified ciliated columnar epithelium. At follow up the neck extension has greatly improved. The parents were satisfied with the cosmetic outcome.



Figure 2: Wound closure after excision of the defect.

DISCUSSION

Congenital midline cervical cleft (CMCC) is a rare anomaly and less than 100 cases have been reported to date [1]. The prevalence of CMCC in all cases of thyroglossal cyst and brachial cleft sinuses is 1.7% and it is considered a variant of Tessier 30 craniofacial cleft [1, 2]. It presents at birth as a visible defect in cervical region in the midline. CMCC consists of three parts, a nipple like skin hood at cranial end followed by a middle area of skin cleft with a small blind ending sinus caudally. Embryologically, most of the authors believe it to be the outcome of failure of branchial arches I and II, to fuse in the midline. The main indications of surgery are cosmetic, prevention of neck contracture, release of neck contracture in

case of delayed presentation, and to some extent psychological effect on the patient. If left untreated for a longer period, the lesion would result in severe neck contracture. My patient presented at the age of 3 year with considerable limitation of extension of the neck. These defects can be excised elliptically with closure of the defect with and without Z-plasty. In case of small defect one Z-plasty can be sufficient, however in large defects, as in index case, multiple z plasties have to be performed. Some authors advocate use of skin flaps to cover the defect [1-5].

REFERENCES

- Sinopidis X, Kourea HP, Panagidis A, Alexopoulos V, Tzifas S, Dimitriou G, et al. Congenital midline cervical cleft: diagnosis, pathologic findings, and early stage treatment. Case Rep Pediatr 2012; 1: 951040.
- Warden C, Millar AJW. A rare congenital midline cervical cleft. SAJS 2010;48:98-9.

- Spencer Cochrane C, DeFatta RJ, Brenski AC. Congenital midline cervical cleft: a practical approach to z-plasty closure. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2006;70:553-9.
- Mlynarek A, Hagr A, Tewfik TL, Nguyen VH. Congenital midline cervical cleft: case report and review of literature. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2003;67:1243-9.
- Eastlack JP, Howard RM, Frieden IJ. Congenital midline cervical cleft: case report and review of the English language literature. Ped Derm 2000;17:118-22.

AFFILIATION:

Department of Paediatric Surgery, The Children's Hospital and the Institute of Child Health, Lahore, Pakistan.

CORRESPONDENCE:*

Bilal Mirza, 428 Nishter block Iqbal town Lahore-54000

Email:* blmirza@yahoo.com

Received on: 17-07-2012 Accepted on: 20-08-2012

http://www.apspicaserep.com © 2013 Mirza B,

Competing Interests: None declared Source of Support: Nil

How to cite

Mirza B. Congenital midline cervical cleft. APSP J Case Rep 2013: 4: 9.